

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

GRONHOJ-LARSEN, et al.

Serial No.: 09/101,825

Filed: July 17, 1998

For: SYNTHETIC IL-10

ANALOGUES

Art Unit:

Examiner:

Washington, D.C.

November 30, 1998

Docket No.: GRONHOJ-LARSEN=2

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT [IDS]

Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

ميرات الم

This Information Disclosure Statement is submitted in accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.97, 1.98, and it is requested that the information set forth in this statement and in the listed documents be considered during the pendency of the above-identified application, and any other application relying on the filing date of the above-identified application of cross-referencing it as a related application.

- 1. This IDS should be considered, in accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.97, as it is filed:
- [] A. within three months of the filing date of the above-identified national application or within three months of the entry into the national stage of the above-identified international application.
- [X] B. before the mailing date of a first office action on the merits.
- [] C. after (A) and (B) above, but before final rejection or allowance, and Applicants have made the necessary certification (box "i" below) or paid the necessary fee (box "ii" below).
 - [] i. Counsel certifies that, upon information and belief, each item of information listed herein was

USSN - 09/101,825

· k.,

either (a) cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of this IDS or (b) was not cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application and was not known to any individual designated in 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of this IDS.

- [] ii. A check for the fee set forth in 1.17(p), presently believed to be \$240, is enclosed (check no.).
- [] D. after (A), (B) and (C) above, but before payment of the issue fee. Applicant petitions under 37 C.F.R. 1.97(d) for consideration of this IDS. A check for the fee set forth in 1.17(i)(1), presently believed to be \$130 is enclosed _____). Counsel certifies that, information and belief, each item of information listed herein was either (i) cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of this IDS or (ii) was not cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application and was not known to any individual designated in 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of this IDS.
- 2. In accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.98, this IDS includes a list (e.g., form PTO-1449) of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the office, either incorporated into this IDS or as an attachment hereto. A copy of each document is attached, except as explained below.
- [] A. Documents _____ are deemed substantially cumulative to documents _____, and, in accordance with 1.98(c), only a copy of each of the latter documents

USSN - 09/101,825

is enclosed.

[] B. Certain documents were previously cited by or submitted to the Office in the following prior application(s), which are relied upon under 35 U.S.C. 120:

[insert serial number/filing date]

Applicants identify these documents by attaching hereto copies of the form PTO-892s and PTO-1449s from the files of the prior applications or a fresh PTO-1449 listing these documents, and request that they be considered and made of record in accordance with 1.98(d). Per 37 CFR 1.98(d), copies of these documents need not be filed in this application. If copies of any of these documents cannot be found in the files of the prior applications, the Examiner is requested to so notify counsel before taking action in this case, so replacement copies can be submitted. While an IDS filed under §1.97 must contain a "list of publications or other information submitted patents, consideration by the Office", see §1.98(a) (1), the only requirement for the list is that it provide the information set forth in §1.98(b). There is no requirement that a form PTO-1449 be used (MPEP §609 merely says that use of this form "encouraged") and no prohibition on submitting a copy of a form PTO-1449 or form PTO-892 from a prior case. Indeed, the re-use of such forms is desirable as it avoids error in transferring the information, and evidences that the reference was considered in a prior application. A previously accepted PTO-1449, or an examiner-prepared PTO-892, necessarily complies with §1.98(b).

- [] 3. Documents _____ are not in the English language. In accordance with 1.98(c), Applicants state:
 - [] An English translation of each document ______ (or of the pertinent portions thereof), or a copy of each corresponding English-language patent or application, or English-language abstract (or

claim) is enclosed.

- [] A concise explanation of the relevance of documents _____ is found in the attached search report from the _____ Patent Office (see reply to Comment 68 in the preamble to the final rules; 1135 OG 13 at 20).
- [] A concise explanation of the relevance of documents _____ is set forth as follows:

 [Insert concise explanation of relevance]
- 4. No explanation of relevance is necessary for documents in the English language (see reply to Comments 67 and 68 in the preamble to the final rules; 1135 OG 13 at 20).
- 5. Other information being provided for the examiner's consideration follows:

International Search Report for PCT/DK96/00029 and PCT/DK97/00021.

- 6. In accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.97(g) and (h), the filing of this IDS should not be construed as a representation that a search has been made or that information cited is, or is considered to be, material to patentability as defined in §1.56 (b), or that any cited document listed or attached is (or constitutes) prior art. Unless otherwise indicated, the date of publication indicated for an item is taken from the face of the item and Applicant reserves the right to prove that the date of publication is in fact different.
- 7. The Commissioner is hereby authorized and requested to charge any additional fees which may be required in connection with this application or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-4035.

While an IDS filed under §1.97 must contain a "list of all patents, publications or other information submitted for consideration by the Office", see §1.98(a)(1), the only requirement for the list is that it provide the information set

USSN - 09/101,825

forth in §1.98(b). There is no requirement that a form PTO-1449 be used (MPEP §609 merely says that use of this form is "encouraged").

Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. Attorneys for Applicant

Bv:

Iver P. Cooper Reg. No. 28,005

419 Seventh Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004 Telephone: (202)628-5197 Facsimile: (202)737-3528

IPC:lms

 $f: \verb| user19 \ | n-q \ | gro 825 us. ids$